

THE THIRD ANNUAL AOSSG MEETING

A Driving Wind for IFRS from Asia-Oceania 23-24 November 2011 Melbourne Australia

Investment Entities (IEs)

Presented by Working Group Lead Singapore Accounting Standards Council

Agenda

- Overall Thrust of ED
- Key Areas of Dissent
- Criteria for IEs: Pooling of Funds
- Accounting in Consolidated FS of Non-IE Parent
- Other Suggestions



Overall Thrust of ED

- Most WG members express strong support for exception to consolidation as FV provides more relevant & useful information to users.
- However, minority within this majority group consider that consolidation, rather than FV accounting, is appropriate if the entity actively managed controlled entities notwithstanding this is carried out to secure/maximise investment income &/or capital appreciation (e.g. venture capital funds).
- → IASB could evaluate if the explicit incorporation of exit strategies as one of the criteria of IEs would address the concerns of these members.
- A few WG members strongly oppose the consolidation exception on grounds that the concept of control is fundamental to determining the boundaries of a reporting entity & the preparation & presentation of FS.



Key Areas of Dissent

- Criteria for IEs: Pooling of Funds

- No conceptual basis why a single investor entity (e.g. a sovereign fund) could not be an IE if all other criteria are met.
- Para B6 of ED would prevent a single investor from receiving benefits other than investment income &/or capital appreciation, which addresses IASB's concern that off balance sheet accounting could otherwise be achieved inappropriately.
- A few WG members appreciate the difficulties in establishing the criteria to scope in single investor entities whilst minimising abuses & are prepared to accept the exclusion of certain 'legitimate' IEs.

Recommendation to IASB:

Most WG members suggest IASB to review the appropriateness of the "pooling of funds" criterion.



Key Areas of Dissent

Accounting in Consolidated FS of Non-IE Parent

- ➤ If FV provides more useful information at subsidiary level, difficult to conceive otherwise at parent level.
- Given IE's business model, acquisition & disposal of investments are likely to be fairly frequent. As such, consolidation by parent would be for relatively short period which could impair usefulness of parent's consolidated FS.
- Some WG members suggest that IASB's concerns about potential accounting inconsistencies & abuses (e.g. when IE subsidiary holds equity interest in parent) could be better addressed by prescribing specific accounting guidance or refining the criteria for IEs.



Key Areas of Dissent

Accounting in Consolidated FS of Non-IE Parent

Contradicts proposal in ED to flow through FV of associates/JVs to parent's consolidated FS.

Recommendation to IASB:

Most WG members suggest IASB to allow the exception to consolidation to flow through to parent's consolidated FS regardless of whether parent is an IE itself.



Other Suggestions

- Criteria for IEs:
- Some WG members consider that a key feature of IEs is the existence of exit strategies for investments held & suggest that IASB explicitly incorporate this as one of the criteria for IEs in the main standard.

One WG member suggests that the syntax for criterion 2(c) and B12 be tightened so that it is clear that ownership refers to beneficial as opposed to legal ownership. This is to avoid any unintended consequences whereby a structure which confers proportionate shares of nets assets on the beneficial owners would be deemed as not meeting the IE criteria.



Questions?

